

LAWRENCE Y D HO & ASSOCIATES PTE LTD

LAWRENCE Y.D. HO
B.Sc., M.B.A., J.D.

MOHIT LAHOTY
B.Com., LL.B., LL.M.

GEORGE D. LIU
B.A. M.Sc., PhD & JD

SERENA Y.H. WU
LL.B. (National Taiwan University)

ADRION YAP
B.E.E., LL.B.

Provides services on International
Intellectual Property Matters including
Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights &
Related Litigation.

Provides Advisory Services on
Technology Transfer.

GST Reg. 20-0003547-G

16 November 2004

VIA FAX & POST

61-2-6285-3929

IPEA/AU
Australian Patent Office
Discovery House,
Bowes Street
Phillip ACT 2606
Australia

No. of pages : 21 including this page

Dear Madam/Sir

RE : Response to **FIRST** Written Opinion for
PCT Patent Application No. **PCT/SG02/00194**
Applicant : The National University of Singapore et al
Title : Receiver having a signal reconstructing section for noise reduction,
system and method thereof
product thereof
Our Ref : **1217.P015PCT**

We refer to the above PCT patent application and the FIRST Written Opinion dated 19 Dec 2003.

In response to the FIRST Written Opinion, please find enclosed :

- a) Amendment and Response (6 pages);
- b) Replacement Sheets (Clean Copy) page 21 to 27 of amended claims (7 pages); and
- c) Replacement Sheets (Marked up Copy) page 21 to 27 of amended claims (7 pages).

We respectfully submit that no new matter has been added to the application by the amendments and remarks.

We look forward to receiving the Examiner's allowance of the Claims 1-34 of the above PCT application. Nevertheless, we request the Examiner for additional opportunities to submit amendments or arguments should the amendments not result in allowance.

Yours sincerely
LAWRENCE Y.D. HO & ASSOCIATES PTE LTD



Adrion Yap

Encl.

Response to FIRST Written Opinion dated 19th Dec 2003

PCT Application PCT/SG02/00194
No.

Applicant : **The National University
of Singapore**

Application 28 Aug 2002
Filing Date :

Priority Date : 28 Aug 2002

Title : Receiver having a signal
reconstructing section for noise
reduction, system and method
thereof

Authorized **Juzer Khanbhai**
Officer

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

In the Claims :

Claim 1 amended to incorporate additional limitations from claim 2 and claim 7 as filed.

Claim 19, 25 and 31 amended to incorporate additional limitations from claim 2 and claim 7.

Claims 2 and 7 are cancelled.

Claim numberings and claim dependencies have been amended in accordance with the above amendments.

Please find attached replacement sheets pages 21 to 26 for renumbered Claims 1 to 34.

REMARKS

In the FIRST Written Opinion dated 19th Dec 2003, the Authorized Officer has objected to Claim 1 to 36 for lack of Novelty citing WO95/16259 by Janse, US 56190944 by Mau et al, US 6298050 by van Heeswket al and US 6426983 by Rakib et al. The authorized officer has also further emphasized page 2 line 32 to page 8 line 24 of WO95/16259.